

Republican Ticket.

For Secretary of State,
MAX F. A. HOFFMAN.
For Auditor of State,
JOHN D. EVANS.
For Treasurer of State,
ROBERT H. MILROY.
For Superintendent of Public Instruction,
BARNABAS C. HOBBS.
For Attorney General,
NELSON TRISLER.
For Judge of the Supreme Court,
First District—**JOHN T. ELLIOTT.**
Second District—**CHARLES A. RAY.**
Third District—**ROBERT C. GEE, JR.**
Fourth District—**ANDREW L. OSBORN.**

CONGRESSIONAL AND JUDICIAL TICKET.

For Congress—Ninth District,
GEN. JOHN P. C. SHANKS.
For District Attorney 12th Judicial District,
PHILLIP A. B. KENNEDY.
For Judge 12th Judicial Circuit,
JACOB M. HAYNES.
Prosecuting Attorney 12th Judicial Circuit,
ELISHA B. REYNOLDS.

LAW OF NEWSPAPERS.

1. Subscribers who do not give express notice to the contrary, are considered as wishing to continue their subscription.
2. If subscribers wish their papers discontinued, and publishers may continue to send them until charges are paid.
3. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office or place to which they are sent, they are held responsible until they settle their bills and give due notice to discontinue.
4. If subscribers move to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former residence, they are held responsible. Notice should always be given of the removal.
5. The Courts have decided that refusing to take a paper from the office, of removing and leaving it uncollected for a week, is a breach of the contractual bond.

TO THE PUBLIC.

The Circulation of the JOURNAL is without parallel in the history of newspapers in Randolph County. Advertisers will bear in mind that it is the BEST ADVERTISING MEDIUM in the County.

MASONRY.

Volumes have been written on the objects and mission of Masonry, but we find the following going the rounds of the press, without credit, which in a few lines more fully expresses the whole mission of Masonry than any thing we ever read. We commend it to the careful consideration of those who through ignorance or prejudice, condemn this noble order:

"Masonry clothes the naked, beguiles the sorrowful, shelters the weak, reclaims the erring, lifts up the despondent, and, after attending with an unflinching step, every variety of their fortune in this field of action and passion, of alternate weal and woe, takes her gentle and benignant leave of them last of all. For this labor and vigilance, these works of charity, so different in feature from the course of the world, she claims no trophy—not even the praise contained in a simple catalogue of her acts. It is thus, by the exercise of the principles of Masonry, that the interests of the Fraternity became the interests of mankind."

The following notice was sent to us last week from Washington City:

"United States Notes, Series of 1868. Ten thousand notes of ten dollars each, from No. 113,520,000 to No. 113,529,999, both inclusive, were stolen from the Treasury. No \$10 notes of a number higher than No. 113,529,999 have been issued. Please look out for the stolen notes. A liberal reward will be paid to any person through whose instrumentality the thief may be detected. Hold parties presenting the stolen notes, (if captured and taken) and in any case of presentation notify F. E. SPENCER, Treasurer U. S. Washington, June 14, 1879. We'll look out for 'em, and take the whole batch, if we get the chance."

The editor of the *Conservative*, a weekly paper published in Missouri, is what may be called a vigorous writer. Hear him on the Fifteenth Amendment:

Twenty thousand niggers, laborers that only shed their tails a generation ago; boorish savages, whose relations are dining to-day, on roast old woman, and fried baby, to whose cannibal tastes, no dish is so dainty as a nicely stewed North Methodist Missionary—twenty thousand gibbering apes—niggers, ignorant as mules, and with no more soul than the same number of Newfoundland dogs—are voters—citizens—sovereigns—in Missouri—and ninety thousand white men are disfranchised, political outcasts, slaves."

INDIANA—HER GROWING TRADE

[CONTINUED.]

The House of Alfred T. Sinker, Indianapolis.

In looking over the varied interest of the community there is perhaps, no one interest at present that is of more importance than the various branches of the lumber trade. Hence, anything that contributes to the production of good cheap lumber is a public benefaction. Men of enterprise and public spirit have for years devoted themselves to the perfecting of machinery that will best accomplish this result. As a consequence, instead of the old-fashioned saws, we find now such a perfection in sawing machinery that the labor which thirty years ago was required to produce a given result in a day now gives the same result in an hour.

Realizing the importance of this branch of business, during the summer of 1877, the American Saw Works were established at Indianapolis. This compactly built but commodious factory stands on the corner of Pennsylvania and Georgia streets.

In order that we may see what these years have accomplished, let us glance at the various kinds of saws and sawing machinery which this establishment is now furnishing. We presume the ordinary cross cut saw would come first. Probably of this style of saws the American cross cut saw has no superior. At a recent trial of cross cut saws near Indianapolis this saw far surpassed all competitors, both in ease of performing labor and in

rapidity of cutting. Besides their other good qualities, the ease with which they can be kept in order is another good recommendation. They combine simplicity, durability and strength.

In consequence of the great demand for his new American cross cut saw he has been unable to fill orders with his usual promptness. He has now, however, increased his facilities so that he is able to furnish, on short notice all kinds of saws, which he claims are made of the very best material, and are unsurpassed in quality and finish.

In addition to the American cross cut he is also manufacturing the Common Tooth, the Single Hook Tooth, and the Sheffield Hook Tooth.

Next comes circular saws. These are made of Wm. Jessop & Son's best English cast steel, by careful and experienced workmen, and are invariably tempered in an oil bath, which imparts to them a very tough and even temper. This constitutes one of their chief points of excellence. Care is taken that no imperfect article may leave the factory. With a patent grinding machine he is enabled to grind circulars from six inches to seven feet, with great accuracy and precision. The great difficulty in grinding a saw without leaving it uneven in thickness has always been acknowledged by practical saw makers. This causes the saw to work as soon as it becomes slightly heated in working. When this takes place a saw loses its stiffness and will not cut in a direct line. He warrants his saws to be free from these defects. He either makes them even in thickness or gradually increasing in thickness from the edge to the centre, as may be desired. As there are no flick or thin places the friction on the surface of the saw is uniform, consequently it will remain stiff and true, and will require less set and less power, will saw smooth, save lumber and will not be liable to become untrue. When desired they also make them concave.

Next comes the Mulay Saw. Of this style of saws we need not speak at length only to say that every size and style are now being made at this establishment. In addition to style of saws already mentioned they are manufacturing the Butting or Drag Saw, the Shingle Saw, the Billet and Wood Sawyers, the Coopers' Webb, Turning Webb, Fellow, Pit, Ice, Gang, Scroll and Compass Saws and Saw knives.

In addition to saws they are furnishing a variety of machinery used in connection with saws. In their Patent Band Saw Machinery, the saw travels over 4,000 feet per minute or more than forty-five miles an hour. The Patent Clipper Drag Saw is a machine that every farmer would do well to have who has timber that he wishes to get into the market. It is complete in all its parts.

Another machine of interest is the Burlew's Patent Saw Gummer. This Gummer has been before the public for two or three years, during which time they have withstood severe tests, and now have a reputation unequalled by any other gummer ever made. In spite of the long standing and well-known prejudice against all kinds of burr gummers, there have been several thousand sold, which are giving entire satisfaction. The double upset and sharper is another machine that needs mentioning, being an upset and sharper combined in one. It is made of the best cast steel, with a wrought iron band, thus securing the greatest strength. It will pay for itself in time, labor and flies. Speaking of flies we would not forget that this establishment is manufacturing files of every variety used in sharpening saws. These files and rasps are rapidly acquiring the reputation to which their merit entitles them. Made exclusively of the choicest cast steel, under the supervision of a practical file maker of long experience, he claims that they are not excelled by any file in the market.

Another speciality consists in repairing saws that are out of order. He always pays attention to re-toothings.

Mr. Sinker, who is now the sole proprietor of these works, has a trade which extends through a number of the States of the Union. His saws are made from the very best English cast-steel, and his workmen are unsurpassed. Every effort is made to have each saw thoroughly and evenly tempered. If we mistake not, Mr. Sinker took both the premiums on saws at the late State Fair. We might give many other interesting items connected with these works, but we have not the space in this issue.

Bar Docket—Common Pleas Court, July Term, 1879.

SECOND DAY'S CAUSES.

570 Andrew Thomas, assignee, vs. Isaiah Bridgett.
701 William C. Hendricks vs. Isaac Wood, Administrator.
890 John Phillips vs. Augustus Bunch, Administrator.
1058 Richard Sheppard vs. Thomas Mauley, et al.
1154 Henry Shearon vs. John G. Hatfield.
1211 Robert Sprinkle et al. vs. Jas. Montgomery et al.
1221 Thomas Meeks vs. C. E. Shipley, Administrator.
1300 John W. Bodkin vs. Henry Miller, Administrator.
1417 John Tipton vs. Eva Tipton.
1457 James Brown vs. Eliza and Wm. Anderson, Administrators.
1505 Emily J. Montgomery vs. Rudolph Sprinkle.

1530 Thornton Jones vs. Sarah Foust, Administratrix.
1533 Thomas W. Kizer vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1539 George A. Black vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1542 John McCowan vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1543 John B. Finke vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1567 James C. Smith vs. Jesse D. Smith.
1579 Benjamin C. Wilkerson et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1583 Wm. P. Wallace et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1596 Isaac R. Howard et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1597 John D. Carter vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1599 Wm. F. Spencer et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1599 Christian Zimmerman vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1609 Cyrus W. Osborne et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1612 Eliza Addington vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1614 Eliza DeBall et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1615 Lewis Madrox et al. vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1622 Jonathan Osborne vs. Isaac N. Locke.
1624 Michael Miller vs. Jacob Michael et al.
1637 State ex rel Sarah Housholder vs. Thomas Ryland.
1648 Abraham Harris vs. L. D. Bragg, Administrator.
1649 Alexander Williams vs. Burrell Perkins, Administrator.
1711 Parker & Benson vs. Henry V. Sipe, Administrator.
1725 Robert H. Grooms vs. Henry T. Thornburg.
1726 Noah Simmons vs. Gid D. Leavelle, deceased.

THIRD DAY'S CAUSES.

1747 John Distser vs. George Cox et al.
1748 William Benson vs. John H. Lawrence, Administrator.
1751 Durant Smith vs. Daniel H. Keys, Administrator.
1758 Margaret Harshman et al. vs. Margaret Dearth et al.
1776 George Johnston vs. Morristown & Blountsville Turnpike Co.
1780 Priscilla Blauhal vs. Sarah Conklyn et al.
1790 R. W. & W. A. Hamilton vs. Jacob H. Platt, Administrator.
1792 W. A. Hamilton vs. William Anderson, Administrator.
1798 Benjamin Hunt vs. Estate of W. W. Osborn, deceased.
1799 Richard Bosworth vs. L. D. Bragg, Administrator.
1803 Peter Dervage vs. Jacob H. Platt, Administrator.
1806 Edmund Thornburg vs. Henry T. Devoss, Administrator.
1815 Thomas Ward vs. George E. Thompson, Administrator.
1832 State vs. Simon Peacock et al.
1834 William T. Payne et al. vs. Alvah Swain.
1835 Didama Rash vs. William Rash.
1836 Benjamin Gettinger vs. Susan Stout.
1841 President and Directors of the Blountsville & Windsor Turnpike Company vs. Jonathan Clevenger.
1842 President and Directors of the Blountsville & Windsor Turnpike Company vs. Jonathan Clevenger et al.
1851 D. R. Williamson vs. James A. Arrick.
1853 State ex rel James Boher vs. Joel A. Newman et al.
1861 Henry Handshy vs. John Lindley.
1862 Daniel Barnes et al. vs. Jeremiah Smith.
1867 Edwin S. Bowlin vs. Burrell Perkins, Administrator.
1868 Lucy A. Reeves vs. Burrell Perkins, Administrator.
1876 Hugh Bailey vs. Thomas Mauley.
1877 Solomon Young vs. Samuel Keister.
1878 Solomon R. Rhodelamel et al. vs. Peter Horner et al.
1879 James Stadelaker vs. J. S. Reeves.
1887 John Commons, Administrator vs. George K. Holly, et al.
1888 Robert C. Miller vs. G. H. Smith.
1900 Christian Miller vs. Reuben Miller et al.
1903 Jacob Whitesell vs. Benjamin F. Parker et al.
1907 Hiram Sator vs. W. S. Addington.
1908 Wm. Ruble, Administrator vs. Thomas J. Puckett.

FOURTH DAY'S CAUSES.

1910 Eliza Martin vs. Elizabeth Huffman et al.
1911 Joseph J. Turpen et al. vs. Wm. S. Lynn.
1912 State ex rel Cyrenthy C. Floyd vs. Lewis W. Day.
1913 Frederick C. Trebelin et al. vs. First National Bank Union City et al.
1914 Cornelia E. Coats et al. vs. Joab Pierce et al.
1915 John W. Bridgeland vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1916 John W. Bridgeland vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1917 Cadwallader & Wiggs vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1918 John S. Matchett vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1919 Mark E. Reeves vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1920 J. J. Turpen vs. William P. DeBolt, Administrator.
1922 Thomas Ward vs. Hannah Puckett, Administratrix.
1923 William P. Brickley vs. Hannah Puckett, Administrator.
1924 Farmers & Merchants Insurance Company vs. Eliza & William Anderson, Administrators.
1925 John Ross vs. Benj. Puckett admr.
1926 Asa Teal vs. Benj. Puckett admr.
1927 Joseph Halstead vs. Henry C. Thornburg, Administrator.
1929 John W. Diggs vs. John H. Hutton, Administrator.
1930 James B. Tennell vs. John H. Hutton, Administrator.
1931 W. P. Brickley vs. John H. Hutton, Administrator.
1932 Fredrick Miller vs. William S. Baker, Administrator.
1933 Henry T. Green vs. Tyre T. Puckett, Administrator.
1935 Benjamin Buckingham vs. John Commons, Administrator.
1936 Michael Maley vs. Benjamin Farley, Administrator.
1937 Thomas Maley vs. Benjamin Farley, Administrator.

1938 Gray & Cadwallader, vs. Benjamin Farley, Administrator.
1939 Kitzelman & Ward vs. Estate of M. J. Smith.
1940 John M. Seagraves vs. Gideon Shaw, Administrator.
1942 John North vs. J. B. Pike ex'r.
1943 Joseph Puckett vs. Nathan Reynolds, assignee.
1944 Jas. B. Tennell vs. Gideon Shaw, Administrator.

FIFTH DAY'S CAUSES.

1945 Wm. Weimer vs. Helena Weimer.
1946 Joseph Hiatt vs. Oliver Coats.
1947 Philip Miller et al.
1948 Isaac P. Schenck vs. Garrett H. C. Schenck et al.
1949 Washington Coleman vs. Garrett H. C. Schenck et al.
1950 Wm. B. Pierce vs. Levi Kelly et al.
1951 Mariah Barnhart et al. vs. Isaac Sipe et al.
1952 State vs. Alexander Feagans et al.
1953 Reulah Leake et al. vs. Israel Wright et al.
1954 Henry Ludy vs. Mathew F. Porter.
1955 Joseph Bowen et al. vs. Ephraim Sharpe et al.
1956 Isaac W. Wittermyer vs. John L. Wilson et al.
1957 Joseph Comer et al. vs. Adam Jack et al.
1958 State vs. Henry Sweitzer et al.
1959 Wm. H. Bennett vs. Chas. Stine.
1960 Albadine Harshman et al. vs. Edward Simmons.
1961 Jerome B. Sherrett vs. Thomas Dowden et al.
1962 James E. Kemp vs. H. V. Sipe.
1963 Wm. W. Davis et al. vs. Charles Borroughs et al. Adminr.
1964 Samuel L. Hiatt vs. Wm. Moore.
1965 Jacob C. Kegeris et al. vs. Wm. Canaday.
1966 Arnett Stanford, administrator vs. John H. Templin et al.
1967 Richard M. Conway vs. Samuel V. Templin.
1968 Henry Potter vs. Coppy C. Smith et al.
1969 Hannah Lumpkin vs. Marmaduke Lumpkin et al.
1970 Joel H. Beier vs. John W. Hutton et al.
1971 Abraham Gaar et al. vs. Jacob R. Stewart et al.
1972 State ex rel Mary Jane Hazen vs. Jacob Forney.
1973 Bellefontaine Railway Co. vs. James C. Williamson et al.
1974 First National Bank Winchester vs. Hamilton Snodgrass et al.
1975 First National Bank Winchester vs. Hamilton Snodgrass et al.
1976 J. F. Silerling & Co. vs. Silas Mitchell.
1977 Martha J. Clevenger vs. William J. Clevenger.
1978 William J. Holliday, John W. Murphy vs. Wm. S. Robbins et al.
1979 Joseph Comer, Daniel G. Brown et al. vs. Moses Hall et al.
1980 George Smith et al. vs. Moses Hall et al.
1981 David M. Osborn et al. vs. Charles G. Potter et al.
1982 Wm. Goodheart et al. vs. William McCollum.
1983 Wm. Arnold vs. Wm. Kenyon.
1984 Charles G. Cooper, et al. vs. N. P. Heaston.

SIXTH DAY'S CAUSES.

1985 Jonas W. Yeo et al. vs. Charles Dority et al.
1986 Ralph M. Pomeroy et al. vs. John S. Flood et al.
1987 Abraham Clevenger vs. Floyd M. Brewer et al.
1988 Isalah Bridgett vs. Nathan Brewer et al.
1989 James W. Shaw et al. vs. John D. Carter et al.
1990 Wm. B. Andrews, Guardian vs. David T. Hiatt et al.
1991 Isaac R. Howard, John W. Grubbs et al. vs. David T. Hiatt et al.
1992 Elias Clevenger vs. Eliza M. Taylor et al.
1993 John E. Neff, Administrator de bonis non vs. Evan Michael et al.
1994 John E. Neff, Administrator vs. Evan Michael et al.
1995 Thomas W. Kizer et al. vs. Wm. C. Hendricks.
1996 Wm. F. Spencer et al. vs. Thomas Colvin et al.
1997 Elijah R. McConnell vs. Francis M. Way et al.
1998 Wm. Ashton vs. F. M. Way et al.
1999 Wm. J. Holliday et al. vs. Daniel Jones et al.
2000 Horatio C. Greenwood vs. Archibald McFarland et al.
2001 Wm. Fulghum ex rel vs. Cornelius Longfellow.
2002 Mary A. Routh, Administratrix vs. Lewis W. Davis et al.
2003 James H. Bowen vs. John W. Griffith et al.
2004 Sarah Beary vs. George A. Smith et al.
2005 James H. Bowen vs. J. W. Griffith et al.
2006 John W. Campbell vs. Salem & Union City Turnpike Company.
2007 Rachael Hunt vs. Henrietta M. Hunt et al.
2008 Edward Starbuck et al. vs. John W. Diffinbaugh et al.
2009 Elizabeth Reitenour vs. James H. Fitzpatrick et al.
2010 John F. Kirby vs. Wm. M. James et al.
2011 Alfred V. Metzker vs. Robert W. Hamilton.
2012 Alfred V. Metzker vs. William H. Gordon.
2013 John F. Kirby vs. N. Byrum.
2014 Daniel M. Bradbury vs. Bennett P. Coughill et al.
2015 James E. Robertson et al. vs. Wm. B. Thornburgh et al.
2016 The Winchester Bank vs. Irvin Wyson et al.
2017 Aaron Shaw et al. vs. Silas H. Moore.
2018 Frederick Dodsworth vs. Theodore F. Colgrove et al.
2019 Thomas A. Leglar et al. vs. Kateu Kretzer et al.
2020 Madison A. Puckett vs. Benjamin Puckett, Administrator.
2021 Francis Day et al. vs. Lewellen F. Selby et al.
2022 George Sell et al. vs. Joseph Brown et al.

Probate Causes.

66 Mahlon Hockett, Guardian.
37 Robert L. H. Jones, admr.
37 James Pierce, guardian.
515 Benjamin E. Orr, guardian.

522 Wm. B. Bakely, guardian.
523 Sarah A. Cox, guardian.
643 Hannah A. Wilmore, guardian.
649 John F. Hale.

THIRD DAY'S CAUSES.

652 Henry V. Sipe, administrator.
654 Eliza Yelver, guardian.
667 William Durnell, guardian.
668 Isaac Wood, administrator.
677 Henry B. Cox, guardian &c.
693 John W. Cox, guardian &c.
697 Henry H. Brooks, administrator.

FOURTH DAY'S CAUSES.

721 William Adamson, guardian.
724 Mariah Scott, guardian.
727 Norman Way, executor.
733 John Commons, et al. admr.
735 H. C. Devoss et al. admr.
745 Benjamin Hunt, administrator.
746 John H. Hutton, guardian.
788 Branson Dennis, administrator.

FIFTH DAY'S CAUSES.

789 Harriet N. Jones, guardian.
790 John Johnson, guardian.
800 John Commons, administrator of Enoch R. Powell's estate.
802 William S. Baker, administrator.

SIXTH DAY'S CAUSES.

810 James Gordon, guardian.
811 Nathan T. Butts, administrator.
812 Benjamin F. Buckingham, admr.
813 Charles Borroughs, et al. admr.
814 Benjamin Farley, administrator.

EDGER, KIZER & CO.

WINCHESTER, INDIANA,
DEALERS IN
BUTTER AND EGGS
FEATHERS, BEESWAX,
WHEAT, CORN,
&c., &c., &c.

THE HIGHEST MARKET PRICES PAID.

Farmers, bring along your Produce, Warehouses opposite the Bellefontaine Passenger Depot, in Winchester. n274f

DRY GOODS AND GROCERIES.

Keeps constantly on hand a large and well selected stock of

O. M. MILLS

Keeps constantly on hand a large and well selected stock of

DRY GOODS,

READY-MADE CLOTHING.

NOTIONS,

HATS and CAPS,

BOOTS AND SHOES.

—ALSO—

GROCERIES,

TEAS,

COFFEE, SUGARS, MOLASSES,

RAISINS, SPICES, NUTS, &c.,

WHICH WILL BE

Sold at the Lowest Prices.

PRODUCE TAKEN AT ALL TIMES.

Call and examine stock before purchasing elsewhere.

Store: No 3 West Side Public Square,

n274f WINCHESTER, INDIANA.

GROVER & BAKER

SEWING MACHINE.

I have on hand and for sale, the

Grover & Baker Sewing Machine

One of the best

FAMILY MACHINES

That is now before the public,

WILL DO THE

Neatest and most Durable Work of any Machine.

ALSO,

THREADS, NEEDLES, MACHINE OIL, &c.

Please call and examine for yourselves, or send for circulars.

W. R. WAY, Agent,

WINCHESTER, IND.

GROCERY.

Provision, and Queensware Store.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT

Pleas. W. Harris and L. W. Love have entered into partnership in the General Grocery Trade, for the mutual benefit of themselves and the people of Randolph County. They will at all times keep a good stock of goods in their line, and sell at as low prices as any other house.

COUNTRY PRODUCE.

The highest market price paid for Country Produce.

A Large and Fresh Stock of Goods Just Arrived.

Consisting in part of all grades of Sugar, Coffee, Tea, Molasses, Rice, Crackers, Cheese, Herring, Bologna Sausage, Potatoes, Dried Apples and Peaches, Spices, Flour, Candles, Cakes, Notions, Thread and Needles, Soap, Fruit Cans, Starch, Fish, Fresh Vegetables, Glassware, Cigars, Tobacco, &c.

Remember the place—Harris & Love's, cheap cash Grocery, North-West Corner of the Public Square, Winchester, Ind.

Winchester, June 23, 1879. n26f

THE FINE HORSE

NAPOLÉON!

Imported from France.

THOROUGH BRED.

He has taken Three

First Premiums

at the

RANDOLPH COUNTY FAIR,

and also

SWEETSTAKES PREMIUM IN 1868.

Owned by J. R. Heaston.

Young Napoleon may be seen on Fridays and Saturdays, at Deerfield, and balance of time at C. W. Barragans' Livery Stable, in Winchester.

William Ashwell, keeper, will take pleasure in showing him at any time. n17

WINCHESTER

Marble Works.

The undersigned has now on hand a larger and more complete stock of

AMERICAN & ITALIAN MARBLE

than has ever before been offered in this part of the State, consisting in

TOMBS, MONUMENTS,

HEADSTONES,

All kinds of Furniture Tops, &c.

Plans and designs for monuments set up in the latest style of art. All work will be sold

AT PRICES TO SUIT THE TIMES.

The Public is invited to call and examine specimens, Agents for

VERMONT ROOFING SLATE

Shop on corner of Washington and Meridian streets, west of National Bank.

D. R. HOFFMAN.

July 15, 1869—1 yr

HOWARD SANITARY AID ASSOCIATION.

For the Relief and Cure of the Erring and Unfortunate, on Principles of Christian Philosophy.

Essays on the Errors of youth, and the Poetics of Age, in relation to Marriage and Social Life, with scientific and philosophical notes, sent free, in sealed envelopes. Address, HOWARD ASSOCIATION, Box P, Philadelphia, Pa. n21f

COMPOUND.

Cures SORE THROAT.